1	STILLMAN LEGAL PC
2	42 Broadway, 12 th Floor
	New York, New York 10004 https://fightforyourrights.lawyer/
3	212-832-1000
4	Attorneys for Plaintiff
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
7	
8	ERODITA XIOMARA PEREZ SALGUERO individually and on behalf of all other
9	employees similarly situated
10	Plaintiff,)
11	-V-) COLLECTIVE ACTION
12	CM ENTERPRISES OF ROCKLAND INC) UNDER 29 U.S.C.\$ 216(b)
13	(Luigi O'Grady's Deli & Catering), AND
14	MICHELLE WORAB jointly and severally. Defendants.
15	2 9/2
16	NATURE OF THE ACTION
17	1. Plaintiff Erodita Xiomara Perez Salguero bring this action under the Fair Labor
18	Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 et. seq. in order to remedy Defendants' wrongful
19	
20	withholding of her lawfully earned wages compensation. Plaintiff also brings these claims under
21	New York Labor Law ("NYLL"), Article 6, §§ 190 et. seq., as well as the supporting New York
22	State Department of Labor Regulations for violations of overtime compensation requirements, and
23	failure of Defendants to comply with notice and record-keeping requirements. Defendants engaged
24	in their unlawful conduct pursuant to a corporate policy of minimizing labor costs and denying
25	in their unlawfur conduct pursuant to a corporate poncy of minimizing labor costs and denying
26	employees compensation by knowingly violating the FLSA and NYLL. Defendants' conduct
27	extended beyond the Plaintiff to all other similarly situated employees. Plaintiff seeks certification

12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24 25

26

27 28

of this action as a collective action on behalf of themselves individually and those other similarly situated employees and former employees of Defendants pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

SUMMARY

- 2. Plaintiff Perez was employed by Defendants, CM ENTERPRISES OF ROCKLAND INC (Luigi O'Grady's Deli & Catering), AND MICHELLE WORAB (collectively "Defendants"), to make salads, decorate cookies and do general cleaning for three (3) years.
- 3. Throughout the course of her employment, Plaintiff worked (54) fifty-four hours per week.
- 4. As a result of Defendants' actions, Plaintiff has suffered great hardship and damages.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

Federal Question Jurisdiction and Supplemental Jurisdiction

5. This Court has original subject matter jurisdiction over this action under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because the civil action herein arises under the laws of the United States, namely, the Fair Labor Standards Act and 29 U.S.C. §201 et seq. Additionally, this Court also has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' state law claims under 28 U.S.C. §1367(a)

Personal Jurisdiction

6. This Court may properly maintain personal jurisdiction over Defendants under Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure because Defendants' contacts with this state and this judicial district are sufficient for exercise of jurisdiction over Defendants so as to comply with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

Venue

7. Venue is proper in the Southern District of New York under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 (b)

(1) and (2) because Defendants reside and conduct business in this judicial district and because a substantial part of the acts or omissions giving rise to the claims set forth herein occurred in this judicial district.

Corporate Defendant

- 8. CM ENTERPRISES OF ROCKLAND INC. is a domestic professional corporation organized and existing corporation under the laws of the State of New York.
- 9. CM ENTERPRISES OF ROCKLAND INC. owns and operates Luigi O'Grady's Deli & Catering located on 106 N Middletown Rd, Pearl River, NY 10965, USA
- 10. At all relevant times, CM ENTERPRISES OF ROCKLAND INC. was a covered employer within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(d), the NYLL § 190, the N.Y. Executive Law § 292(5), and the N.Y. Admin. Code. § 8–102(5).
- 11. At all relevant times, CM ENTERPRISES OF ROCKLAND INC. maintained control, oversight, and direction over Plaintiff, including timekeeping, payroll, and other employment practices that applied to him.

INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANTS

MICHELLE WORAB

- 12. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times throughout Plaintiff's employment, MICHELLE WORAB was the owner, authorized operator, manager, and agent of the Corporate Defendant.
- 13. At all relevant times throughout Plaintiff's employment, Individual Defendant and Corporate Defendant were joint employers of the Plaintiff, acted in the interest of each other with respect to employees, and had common policies and practices as to wages and hours, pursuant to 29 CFR § 791.2.

14. At all relevant times throughout Plaintiffs' employment, Individual Defendants had the discretionary power to create and enforce personnel decisions on behalf of the Corporate Defendant, including but not limited to: hiring and terminating employees; setting and authorizing issuance of wages; maintaining employee records; setting Plaintiff's and other employees' schedule; negotiating Plaintiff's rate of pay; instructing, supervising and training Plaintiff; and otherwise controlling the terms and conditions for the Plaintiff while she was employed by Defendants.

- 15. At all relevant times throughout Plaintiff's employment, Individual Defendants were actively involved in the day-to-day operations of Corporate Defendant.
- 16. At all relevant times throughout Plaintiff's employment, Individual Defendants were a "covered employer" within the meaning of the FLSA, the NYLL, the HRL, and the NYCHRL, and employed or jointly employed Plaintiff, and is personally liable for the unpaid wages and other damages sought herein, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 203(d), NYLL § 2, N.Y. Executive Law § 296(6), and N.Y. Admin. Code § 8-107(6).
- 17. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times throughout Plaintiff's employment, was one of the owners, authorized operators, managers, and agent of the Corporate Defendant.
- 18. At all relevant times throughout Plaintiff's employment, Individual Defendants and Corporate Defendant were joint employers of the Plaintiff, acted in the interest of each other with respect to employees, and had common policies and practices as to wages and hours, pursuant to 29 CFR § 791.2.
- 19. At all relevant times throughout Plaintiffs' employment, Individual Defendants had the discretionary power to create and enforce personnel decisions on behalf of the Corporate Defendant, including but not limited to: hiring and terminating employees; setting and

authorizing issuance of wages; maintaining employee records; setting Plaintiff's and other employees' schedule; negotiating Plaintiff's rate of pay; instructing, supervising and training Plaintiff; and otherwise controlling the terms and conditions for the Plaintiff while she was employed by Defendants.

- 20. At all relevant times throughout Plaintiff's employment, Individual Defendants were actively involved in the day-to-day operations of Corporate Defendant.
- 21. At all relevant times throughout Plaintiff's employment, Individual Defendants were a "covered employer" within the meaning of the FLSA, the NYLL, the HRL, and the NYCHRL, and employed or jointly employed Plaintiff, and is personally liable for the unpaid wages and other damages sought herein, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 203(d), NYLL § 2, N.Y. Executive Law § 296(6), and N.Y. Admin. Code § 8-107(6).

CORPORATE DEFENDANT

- 22. <u>CM ENTERPRISES OF ROCKLAND INC.</u> (hereinafter "Defendant Corporation") is a domestic business corporation organized and existing under the laws of the state of New York. CM ENTERPRISES OF ROCKLAND INC. owns and operates Luigi O'Grady's Deli & Catering restaurant located on 106 N Middletown Rd, Pearl River, NY 10965, USA.
- 23. At all relevant times, Defendant Corporation maintained control, oversight, and direction over the Plaintiffs, including timekeeping, payroll, and other employment practices that applied to them.
- 24. At all relevant times, Defendant Corporation was an employer engaged in interstate commerce and/or the production of goods for commerce, within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 206(a) and 207(a) and employed Plaintiffs within the meaning of the FLSA.
 - 25. At all relevant times, Plaintiff was employed by Defendant Corporation within the

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

PLAINTIFF ERODITA XIOMARA PEREZ SALGUERO

meaning of the NYLL §§ 2 and 651.

- 26. Plaintiff Perez was employed by Defendants from on or about August 5^{th,} 2019, to November 30, 2021. She averaged about (54) fifty-four hours in any given week.
- 27. Throughout her employment, Plaintiff was hired to make salads, decorate cookies and do general cleaning.
 - 28. Plaintiff was never paid overtime compensation as required by law.
 - 29. Plaintiff worked from Wednesday to Monday and took a break on Tuesdays.
- 30. Her schedule was from 8:00 AM to 4:00 PM, (6) six days per week. However, she oftentimes worked until 5:00 pm or 6:00 pm.
- 31. From 2019 until 2021, Plaintiff Perez was paid \$11 per hour the entire time wh. Her salary was never raised. The lawful salary for Rockland County was \$11.10 in 2019, in 2020 it was \$11.80, and it was raised to \$12.50 as of December 31, 2020. Plaintiff was told that she could not earn a minimum wage because she does not speak English.
- 32. Throughout the duration of her employment, Plaintiff did not have any supervisory authority, nor did he exercise discretion or independent judgment with respect to matters of significance.
- 33. Plaintiff Perez never had the authority to hire or fire employees do payroll or set employees' work hours. That level of work was left to the Individual Defendants.

Defendants' Unlawful Corporate Practices

34. Defendants required Plaintiff to work and never compensated him for her work at the lawful overtime rate.

- 35. Plaintiff was never provided with any wage statements, time sheets, or other documents showing the number of hours she worked every week.
- 36. Plaintiff was not provided with a wage notice at the time of hire or at any point thereafter.
- 37. Upon information and belief, while Defendants employed Plaintiff, they failed to post notices explaining the minimum wage rights of employees under the FLSA and NYLL and failed to inform Plaintiff of such rights.
- 38. Plaintiff was never provided with a wage notice at the time of hire or at any point thereafter, noting her hourly wage increases.
- 39. Upon information and belief, while Defendants employed Plaintiff, they failed to post notices explaining the minimum wage rights of employees under the FLSA and NYLL and failed to inform Plaintiffs of such rights.
- 40. Plaintiff has personal knowledge of other employees of Defendants who are similarly situated and who also worked hours for which they were not paid minimum and wages.

COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 41. Pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §§ 203, 206, 207, and 216(b), Plaintiff brings her First and Second causes of action as a collective action under the FLSA on behalf of themselves and the following collective:
- All persons employed by Defendants at any time from <u>January 17, 2016</u> to the present day (the "Collective Action Period") who worked as non-exempt employees of the Defendants (the "Collective Action Members").
- 42. A collective action appropriate in these circumstances because Plaintiff and the Collective Action Members are similarly situated, in that they were all subject to Defendants'

illegal policies of failing to pay wages at or above the statutory minimum.

- 43. Plaintiff and the Collective Action Members have substantially similar job duties and are paid pursuant to a similar, if not the same, payment structure.
 - 44. The claims of Plaintiff stated herein are similar to those of the other employees.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Fair Labor Standards Act – Violation of Minimum Wage Requirements (Brought on Behalf of Plaintiff and the Collective Action Members)

- 45. Plaintiff, on behalf of themselves and the Collective Action Members, reallege and incorporates by reference all allegations made in all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 46. Defendants, throughout the majority of their employment period, paid Plaintiff and the Collective Action Members in amounts below the applicable statutory minimum wage for their hours worked, in violation of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 206.
- 47. Defendants' unlawful conduct, as described in this Complaint, has been willful and intentional. Defendants were aware, or should have been aware, that the practices described in this Complaint were unlawful. Accordingly, a three-year statute of limitations applies pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 255(a).
- 48. As a result of the Defendants' violations of the FLSA, Plaintiff, and the Collective Action Members have suffered damages by being denied wages at or exceeding the statutory minimum in accordance with the FLSA in amounts to be determined at trial and are thus entitled to recovery of such amounts, liquidated damages, attorneys' fees, costs, and other compensation pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216 (b).

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

New York Labor Law – Violation of Minimum Wage Requirements

- 49. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 50. Defendants, throughout the majority of Plaintiff's employment, paid Plaintiff less than the applicable statutory minimum wage for her hours worked in violation of NYLL § 652 and the supporting New York State Department of Labor regulations, including 12 N.Y.C.R.R. Part 146-1.2.
- 51. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff the minimum wage lacked a good faith basis within the meaning of NYLL § 663.
- 52. Due to Defendants' violations of the NYLL, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendants her unpaid minimum wages, liquidated damages as provided for by the NYLL, reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, and pre-judgment and post-judgment interest, pursuant to NYLL § 198 (1-a).

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation of the Overtime Provisions of the NYLL and FLSA)

- 49) Plaintiff repeat and reallegess all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.
- 50) Defendants, in violation of the FLSA, failed to pay Plaintiff (and the FLSA class members) overtime compensation at rates of one and one-half times the regular rate of pay for each hour worked in excess of forty hours in a workweek, in violation of 29 U.S.C.§ 207 (a)(1).
- 51) Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff (and the FLSA class members) overtime compensation was willful within the meaning of 29 U.S.C.§ 255(a)
- 52) Plaintiff (and the FLSA class members) were damaged in an amount to be determined at trial.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

New York Labor Law – Failure to Provide Accurate Wage Statements

- 53. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 54. Defendants have failed to provide Plaintiff with complete and accurate wage statements throughout their employment listing, *inter alia*, all regular hours of work, her rate of pay, and the basis of pay, in violation of NYLL § 195(3).
- 55. Due to Defendants' violations of the NYLL, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendants' statutory damages of Two Hundred and Fifty dollars (\$250) per workday that the violation occurred, up to a maximum of Five Thousand Dollars (\$5,000), pursuant to NYLL § 198 (1-d).

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

New York Labor Law – Failure to Provide Notice at Time of Hiring

- 56. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 57. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff at the time of hiring or at any point thereafter, a notice containing the rate of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; the regular pay day designated by the employer; the physical address of the employer's main office or principal place of business; the telephone number of the employer, and anything otherwise required by law, in violation of NYLL § 195(1).
- 58. Due to Defendants' violations of the NYLL § 195(1), Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendants statutory damages of Fifty dollars (\$50) per workday that the violation occurred, up to a maximum of Five Thousand Dollars (\$5,000) pursuant to NYLL § 198 (1-b).

-judgment interest, damages for emotional distress, attorney's fees, costs, and other such damages of an amount to be determined at trial, pursuant to N.Y. Executive Law § 297(9).

59. Individual Defendants are jointly and severally liable for the aforementioned damages, since he incited, compelled and coerced the above discriminatory and unlawful conduct pursuant to N.Y. Executive Law § 296(6).

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff seeks the following relief:

- A. Issuance of a declaratory judgment that the practices complained of in this complaint are unlawful under the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 *et seq.*, New York Labor Law, Article 19, §§ 650 *et seq.*, and supporting New York State Department of Labor Regulations, the New York Executive Law § 296, and the N.Y. Administrative Code. §§ 8–107;
- B. Unpaid minimum wages pay under the FLSA and an additional and equal amount as liquidated damages pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) and the supporting United States Department of Labor Regulations;
- C. Unpaid minimum wages under NYLL, and an additional and equal amount as liquidated damages pursuant to NYLL §198(1-a) and § 663(1);
- D. Civil penalties of One Thousand One Hundred Dollars (\$1,100.00) for each of Defendants' willful and repeated violations of the FLSA pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b);
- E. An award of statutory damages for Defendants' failure to provide Plaintiff with a wage notice at the time of hiring, or at any point thereafter, pursuant to NYLL § 198 (1-b);
- F. An award of statutory damages for Defendants' failure to provide Plaintiff with wage statements, pursuant to NYLL § 198 (1-d);

- G. An award of back wages, front wages, liquidated damages, damages for emotional distress, and punitive damages for the Defendants' prohibited retaliation against Plaintiff pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 215(3);
- H. An award of front pay, lost compensation, damages for emotional distress, punitive damages, and liquidated damages up to a maximum of Twenty Thousand Dollars (\$20,000.00), for Defendants' prohibited retaliation against Plaintiff pursuant to NYLL § 215(2)(a);
- I. A civil penalty of a maximum of Ten Thousand Dollars (\$10,000.00) for Defendants' prohibited retaliation against Plaintiff pursuant to 29 NYLL § 215(1)(b);
- J. A permanent injunction requiring Defendants to pay all statutorily required wages pursuant to the FLSA and NYLL;
- K. An award of back wages, front wages, pre-judgment interest, damages for emotional distress, and punitive damages for Defendants' discrimination and sexual harassment against Plaintiff, pursuant to N.Y. Admin. Code § 8-502(a);
- L. If liquidated damages pursuant to FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) are not awarded, an award of prejudgment interest pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1961;
- M. An award of pre-judgment interest of nine per cent per annum (9%) pursuant to the New York Civil Practice Law and Rules §§ 5001-5004;
- N. An award of post-judgment interest pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1961 and/or the New York Civil Practice Law and Rules § 5003;
- O. An award of attorney's fees, costs, and further expenses up to Fifty Dollars (\$50.00), pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), NYLL §§ 198 and 663(1), N.Y. Admin. Code § 8-502(g); and

P. Such other relief as this Court shall deem just and proper. Dated: New York, New York January 17, 2022 STILLMAN LEGAL PC By: /s/__ Lina Stillman Attorneys for Plaintiff 42 Broadway, 12th Floor New York, New York 10004 Tel: 212.203.2417 LS@StillmanLegalPC.com